Exhibit A

רשידואוז	CO: TATES DISTRICT COUR	nference T	
	DISTRICT OF NEW YO		
		21	
IN RE:	GM IGNITION SWITCH	LITIGATON	14 MD 2543
		X	
			August 11, 2014
			11:10 a.m.
D - £			
Before:			
	HON . J	ESSE M. FURM	IAN .
	non. o	1001 11. 1014	11 11 y
			District Judge
			_

JGMC Conference

E8BJGMC Conference time for lunch, at which point we will break and then when we come back, I will hear from anyone who wishes to be heard with respect to an application for one of those positions.

1 2

2.3

Needless to say, there is a lot to cover, so I intend to keep things moving. I would ask you to all be mindful of the fact that we have a lot to cover as well, so be economical in your own remarks. And again just a reminder, please identify yourselves and spell your names so that the Court Reporter can make an accurate record.

Let me also just note that throughout the litigation -- and today is no exception -- I am likely to ask lead counsel and defense counsel to submit proposed orders after any conferences that we hold just to ensure that we make an accurate record and everyone is on the same page. Again today is no exception, so I would just ask you all to pay attention and make good notes on what we're doing so that you can submit an accurate proposed order.

With that let me turn to the sort of general principles and housekeeping items that I mentioned as first on the agenda.

Number one, let me say my intention is to do everything in my power to ensure and comply with Rule No. 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; namely, to ensure that this is a just, speedy and inexpensive determination of the disputes here. That is obviously a massive challenge in this SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

E8BJGMC Conference

particular circumstance because at present I think by my count, there are 109 cases, they're pretty substantial cases and this is a pretty complex litigation. That is certainly my task, my challenge, and my mission, and I will do everything in my power to ensure that it is done.

2.3

By "just," that means justice for both sides to ensure that the resolution, whenever it happens, is fair to both sides, the process is fair to both sides, and within the plaintiffs' side, that is fair to all plaintiffs, in my judgment. As you know, the structure that I have adopted for counsel is appropriate given my present understanding of the case and the present composition of the multidistrict litigation.

I intend to monitor both of those, that is my understanding of the litigation and the issues in the litigation as well as the conduct of any counsel that I appoint to leadership positions and I am not adverse to modifying the structure or even specific appointments if the circumstances warrant it.

I am also going to be sensitive about stepping on the toes of Judge Gerber and the bankruptcy proceeding and ensuring an orderly process of the litigation of any issues before the bankruptcy court, mindful of the bankruptcy court's exclusive jurisdiction. I will do what I can for that matter to facilitate that litigation in his jurisdiction, but at the same SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

E8BJGMC Conference
time I want to ensure that to the extent that there is
litigation going on before me, that will ultimately go on
before me, that we do what we can do to make sure that we are

proceeding as efficiently and speedily as we can.

2.3

In that regard, my intention, as I think I made clear in the order last week, is to advance the litigation as much as possible, both to push forward cases that will not ultimately or plausibly be subject to any ruling or order by the bankruptcy court, and to ensure once there is a ruling from the bankruptcy court, and any appeals from whatever that ruling is, whatever claims are left can proceed expeditiously and are in a position to do so.

I also intend throughout the litigation to encourage settlement as much as possible. Ultimately the best outcome for everybody is one that is negotiated by the parties involved. You are the ones with both the technical expertise and the better understanding and knowledge about the issues in the litigation. I think it is obviously pretty early to do that at this point, and my sense from having read the letters that you submitted -- which I should note were extremely helpful to me -- is it is premature to really get into that.

I do want to set up a structure sooner rather than later to facilitate meaningful settlement discussions, and one of the things I do want to focus on if not today, then soon is what discovery would be helpful or necessary in order to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

E8BJGMC Conference

as we're looking at what the appropriate time is to move.

THE COURT: Let me turn to temporary lead counsel and just get your thoughts on this, mindful of the concern that I

articulated.

MR. BERMAN: Steve Berman.

You asked in Question 2 what were the nature of the claims against Delphi, and Delphi built the ignition switch per GM's spec, but the company knew that the switches as built did not meet that spec, so they have been sued because of that.

The other defendant, Continental Automotive, built the airbags, but they built them according to GM specification, as we now understand the facts. The claims against Delphi and Continental are for conspiracy, fraudulent concealment and RICO.

What we think makes the most sense here, and we suggest to the court is the role of those defendants will be on our minds when we're preparing the consolidated complaint. In the Toyota case, by way of example, you had hundreds of claims that were brought before the consolidated complaint. All kinds of defendants were named. At the end of the day, after consulting with the executive committee and many claimants out there, there were no other defendants other than Toyota, and that may be the case here. I don't know it is the case because lead counsel, whoever they are, will have to consult with the plaintiffs' group out there. It could be one of the reasons I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

E8BJGMC Conference

think you want the consolidated complaint to go forward is to eliminate, if that is going to be the case, defendants who probably want to know whether they're going to be in or out of this litigation.

THE COURT: What I hear you saying is that there are concerns here, but we ought to just defer them until later and when you have a better sense of the claims you're pressing and so forth. Is that correct?

MR. BERMAN: That's correct. We have already agreed to meet with counsel for Delphi and get further clarification on their role and consider that as well.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

MR. SCHOON: Thank your Honor.

THE COURT: Turning to No. 3, the question of whether I should withdraw the reference with respect to any claims or proceedings that are currently pending before the bankruptcy court. This is an issue on which I did share my preliminary views; namely, I am disinclined to go that route because of the interrelated nature of the claims in this case, on the theory Judge Gerber is in a better position to interpret his prior orders and figure out what is and isn't subject to those orders and that it will just cause undue complications to withdraw the reference as to some subset of claims or proceedings.

This is definitely an area where I might benefit from some education and argument from counsel. It may be something SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

E8BJGMC Conference that warrants some sort of motion practice or briefing, which is to say, that maybe this is something that I hear from you but we decide should be briefed. Let me turn to temporary lead counsel and ask you to address this.

MS. CABRASER: Good morning, your Honor. Elizabeth Cabraser, temporary lead counsel.

2.3

 We think the court's insight that the consolidated complaint should be filed sooner rather than later provides the key to this issue. You heard from GM's counsel on how they categorize the claims. We categorize them somewhat differently based on our review of the complaints thus far.

We see many claims arising from post-bankruptcy purchases of post-bankruptcy vehicles. We see many post-bankruptcy crashes. We see many complaints, at least 36, that allege conduct on the part of new GM that began after the sale.

The complaints, because they were filed at different times by different counsel with different perspectives representing clients with different circumstances, don't provide a key or categorization of those claims. We think the role of the consolidated complaint is to set forth in separate counts and separate sections an organization of claims so that we have a basis for discussion and briefing after the consolidated complaint is on file as to whether and to what extent a withdrawal of the reference is necessary or SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.